

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,198   | 09/12/2003  | Michael D. Crittenden | 60518-156           | 7202             |
| 27905 7590 94/15/2010<br>HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC<br>450 West Fourth Street<br>Royal Oak, MI 48067 |             |                       | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                       | HALL, ARTHUR O      |                  |
|  |             |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             | 3714                  |                     |                  |
|  |             |                       |                     |                  |
|  |             |                       | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                       | 04/15/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                       | Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/661,198      | CRITTENDEN ET AL. |  |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |
|                       | ARTHUR O. HALL  | 3714              |  |
|                       |                 |                   |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi  | ui uie correspondence address  |
|---|--|
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>02 October</u></li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dates period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expir</li> <li>A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.)</li> </ol> | d, which is after the expiration of the<br>ed on under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. If the defending the street is the street of the str |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  | fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-  |
| (d) ☒ No reply has been received.   |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue.</li> </ul>   | Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated   |
| Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if require   | d by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three Allowability (PTO-37).   Applicant's failure to timely file corrected or the proposed corrected drawings were received on  |  |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the applicants.   | the assignee of the entire interest, or all of   |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | a representative capacity under 37 CFR   |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.   | because the period for seeking court review  |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below:   |  |
|   |  |
| /Peter D. Vo/ /Arthur O Hall/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714 Examiner, Art Unit  | 3714   |
| D. C  | -de-27 CER 4 484 about he seems " " " " "  |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Aba

Amy Galenski, representative of Attorney James R. Yee (Reg. No. 34,460) who is applicants representative, indicated in a telephone conversation on 4/9/2010 that no reply has been filed in response to the Non-final Office Action dated 10/2/2009.